

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

The last time I
checked, the public
still owns the
airwaves and it is
the duty and
obligation of media
companies, such as
Sinclair
Broadcasting, to
serve "public
interests," not
their own political
views.
I am DISMAYED at
this blatant
disregard for the
public interest and
the arrogance
Sinclair obviously
projects by thinking
they can use a
publicly owned
medium to broadcast
their PERSONAL,
POLITICAL views. I
am so very concerned
by the media
consolidation that
has been taking
place over the past
year and am of the
opinion that these
large corporations
clearly care more
about their profits
than serving the
public interest.
This is a DIRECT
threat to democracy.
Instead of something
produced at "News
Central" far away,
it's more important
that we see real
people from our own
communities and more
substantive news
about issues that
matter.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not

weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.

Rebecca Foster